

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,837	08/02/2006	Michael Crothers	833.012	6054	
23598 BOYLE FREI	7590 ORICKSON S.C.	8	EXAMINER MI, QUWEN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
840 North Plan	nkinton Avenue				
MILWAUKE	∃, W153203				
			1655		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/10/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

docketing@boylefred.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/550,837	CROTHERS ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	QIUWEN MI	1655		

set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2.		QIUWEN MI	1655					
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affaids, ir. or other values, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than \$15K MORTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: if Dox is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY-CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MORTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MFEP 706.07(f). Extension Note: if Dox is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY-CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MORTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MFEP 706.07(f). Extension of the may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13(e), it is date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13(e) and the appropriate extension the under 37 CFR 1.17(e) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortherd statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action; or (2) as set forth in (1) above, if checked. Any reply reserved by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.13(e). MONTICE OF APPEAL. 2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on 071 August 2008. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 (e), be avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal was filed on 071 August 2008. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 (e), be avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal was presented and	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affaids, ir. or other values, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than \$15K MORTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: if Dox is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY-CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MORTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MFEP 706.07(f). Extension Note: if Dox is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY-CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MORTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MFEP 706.07(f). Extension of the may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13(e), it is date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13(e) and the appropriate extension the under 37 CFR 1.17(e) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortherd statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action; or (2) as set forth in (1) above, if checked. Any reply reserved by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.13(e). MONTICE OF APPEAL. 2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on 071 August 2008. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 (e), be avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal was filed on 071 August 2008. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 (e), be avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal was presented and	THE REPLY FILED 01 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In or event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires out: (1) the mailing date of the side seat forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In or event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examine Note: If the xI is checked, check either tox (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MFEP 705.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(c). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(c) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension appropriate and the corresponding amount of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension and the corresponding amount of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any extension and the corresponding amount of the final rejection, even if timely filed and of filing the Notice of Appeal as been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	 \[\] The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C. 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ERIST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.13(e). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (5) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final original final final original period of the second or purposed with the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(r)) and valid period of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS AMENDMENTS AMENDMENTS AMENDMENTS They proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal, and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office, over if the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL NOTICE OF APPEAL	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee user 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortneed statutory period for reply originally set in the Office active (7) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(a). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2.			26(a) and the appropriate	o ovtonoion foo				
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS AMENDMENTS	have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
AMENDMENTS a.	date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appea; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: ☐ (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4 ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5 ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ☐ (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 6 ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ☐ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7 ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) or proposed or amendment or nonsideration: none. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8 ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(a) 9 ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evide		,						
(c)				cause				
appeal; and/or (d)								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Nould be proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) ellowed: none. Claim(s) ellowed: none. Claim(s) ellowed: none. Claim(s) ellowed: none. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(a). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after derivence filed by the order of the provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(a). REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ② The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.		er form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
NOTE:								
5.	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Nero purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)			mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
non-allowable claim(s). Now the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to _none. Claim(s) objected to _none. Claim(s) objected to _none. Claim(s) objected to _none. Claim(s) overtime the claims of _none. Claim(s) rejected: _118 ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(a) y The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after dealered to evercome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.30(1/1). 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(s) ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed the overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.30(f)(1). 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Not the tatached information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	non-allowable claim(s).		•					
Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE In effidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). In effidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.30(ft). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. I. Not the attached information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) epiced: 1-12. Note of the REVIDENCE. In eartificative or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1-116(e). In eartificative or other evidence failed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR ECONSIDERATION/OTHER. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none.</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to evercome <u>all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).</u>								
AFIDANT OR OTHER EVIDENCE AFIDANT OR OTHER EVIDENCE SIGN The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e) I The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.3(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☑ Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER. 11. Si The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CPR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CPR 43(0)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR ECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Not the statached information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 430(11). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ② The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. ① Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
13. Other:								
	13. Other:							

/Michael V. Meller/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The previous 102 rejections under Belanger or Breton are withdrawn as the cited references do not teach the effective amount of the adjuvant as in amended claims.

Regarding the 103 rejection, Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine the references as the benefit of Modi is not needed for Breton composition (page 7, last paragraph bridging page 8).

This is not found persuasive. As indicated in the previous action, Breton et al do not teach paracellular pathway, encapsulation, and claimed amount of childrichiosan. Most listedes profetion drug was encapsulated in mixed micelles which allows opening of paracelular junctions with high degree of protease activity preserved and protecting molecules from premature degradation (and in solid acticing and protecting molecules from premature degradation of the drug (oz. 2, lines 58-67; co. 3), lines 1-5). It would have been prima facile obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the encapsulation technique to enhance the paracelular permeability of Modi in Breton et al since Modi teaches that the delivery system has high degree of protease activity preserved and it can protect molecules from premature degradation in the hostile acidic and protectytic GI environment (in vivo), and to vercomes the problem of the bitter tasts and irritation or the drug (oz) 2, lines 58-67; oz), lines 51-83 ince the invention of Modi yielded beneficial results in drug delivery system, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modifications. The result-effective adultsment in conventional

working parameters (e.g., determining an appropriate amount of the components within the

composition) is deemed merely a matter of judicious selection and routine optimization which is

well within the purview of the skilled artisan.

Whether the protection of labile material and method of opening paracellular junction are separate issues or not (page 8, last paragraph), is not relevant to the 103 rejection.

In addition, as indicated previously, Molano et all was only brought in to show that chitin is distributed in yeast cell walls, and it has nothing to do with the tight junction function.

Regarding the Declaration filed on 8/1/2008, after the background introduction (1-10), Applicant's argument regarding the tight junction function (11) is not relevant, as the claims are drawn to a product, not a method, and tight junction function is not even the intended use of the claims. Applicant's argument regarding Belanger and Breton references (12 and 13) are not persuasive, as they are all about intended use of a product. Both BCA and PMSF are pharmaceutically active compounds, the reason the previous 102 rejections are withdrawn is because the cited references do not teach the effective amount of the adjuvant as currently amended. Regarding Modi, Applicant argues that the mixed micelle complexes in Modi are not sufficiently to reach the intestinal mucosa (14), it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not recicled in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Applicant's arguments in 15-17 have been addressed above.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive, and therefore the 103 rejection in the record are maintained.